

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,321	06/27/2001	D. Wade Walke	LEX-0195-USA	LEX-0195-USA 2099	
24231	7590 02/04/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEXICON GENETICS INCORPORATED 8800 TECHNOLOGY FOREST PLACE			LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
	LANDS, TX 77381-116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/04/200	DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/893,321	WALKE ET AL.					
, aviery , touen	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert Landsman	1647					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh t with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTÉ:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed a	mendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	econsideration has been consid Continuation Sheet.	lered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	nėwly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of t	s) a) will not be entered or b) luld be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered ar v or appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
- Section Section Check							
			İ				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-6 remain rejected under 35 USC 101 for the reasons already of record on pages 3-6 of the Office Action dated 8/18/03. Applicants argue that the protein of the present invention was clearly identified as a GABA receptor in the specification as originally filed. However, as previous stated on page 3 of the Office Action dated 8/18/03, Applicants do not disclose in the specification that the proteins of the present invention encode a gamma-1 subunit precursor. Applicants have simply asserted that the proteins of the present invention encode GABA receptors. There is no mention in the specification as to which subunit of the GABA receptor the proteins of the present invention encode, nor that the sequence is a precursor. Claims 1-6 also remain rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons already of record on page 6 of the Office Action dated 8/18/03. These claims are not enabled since they do not possess utility under 35 USC 101 as discussed above. Furthermore, claims 1-6 remain rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons already of record on pages 6-8 and 8-9 of the Office Action dated 8/18/03. Neither these claims, nor the specification, is enabled for "at least 80 contiguous bases of SEQ ID NO:1 or 3," nor are these molecules adequately described. SEQ ID NO:1 is 1398 bases and SEQ ID NO:3 is 771 bases. Applicants have not recited which amino acids or base can be altered while maintaining the function of the full-length protein or polynucleotide, nor do the claims recite a functional limitation.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants' amendments have overcome the objection to the title, since the title is now limited to polynucleotides. Applicants' amendments also have overcome all of the objections to the claims.

GARY KUNZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600